


Community Violence Prevention Project Homicide Review Findings Report (2019)



GRADUATE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

BEHAVIORAL AND COMMUNITY HEALTH SCIENCES

Center for Health Equity

AUTHORS OF THIS REPORT

Gina Brooks, BA

Behavioral and Community Health Sciences
Graduate School of Public Health

Richard Garland, MSW

Behavioral and Community Health Sciences
Graduate School of Public Health

Steven M. Albert, PhD

Behavioral and Community Health Sciences
Graduate School of Public Health

Center for Health Equity

University of Pittsburgh
Graduate School of Public Health
Behavioral and Community Health Sciences

HOMICIDE REVIEW PARTICIPATION

Kimberly Beechan
Allegheny County Jail

Kimberly Booth
Juvenile Probation

Barry Bud
Pittsburgh Police Department

Rob Conroy
Ceasefire PA

Jane Daitilo
U.S District Attorney

Jay Gilmer
City of Pittsburgh/Pittsburgh Initiative to Reduce Crime

Kirk Holbrook
Chief of staff Jake Wheatley Office

Emily Kulick
Allegheny County Department of Human Services

Conor Lamb
Federal Attorney

Raymond Logan
Consultant

Molly Morrill
Allegheny County Jail collaborative coordinator

Sabrina Orlansky
Allegheny County Adult Probation

Julie Platt
University of Pittsburgh Intern

Roland L. Slade, Sr.
Kingsley Association

Taili Thompson
Department of Human Services

Art Terry
Kingsley Association

Mike Vogel
Housing Authority Chief of Police

Chengyuan Zhou
Allegheny County Department of Human Services

SUPPORTIVE CONTRIBUTORS

Tamara Collier
United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Pennsylvania

Erin Dalton
Allegheny County Department of Human Services

Mary Greer
UPMC Presbyterian Trauma Services

David Harris
University of Pittsburgh Professor of Law

Tim Harvison
Crafton Borough Police Department

Alan D. Murdock
UPMC Presbyterian Trauma Services

LaToya Warren
Allegheny County Department of Human Services

ADVISORY BOARD

Franco Harris
Super Bakery, Inc.

Lisa Kuzma
Allegheny County Department of Human Services

Bill Strickland
Manchester Bidwell Corporation

FUNDING SUPPORT

Richard King Mellon Foundation
Pittsburgh, PA

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| Executive Summary..... | 2 |
| Technical Notes | 4 |
| | 13 |
| 2015..... | 16 |
| 2016..... | 16 |
| 2017..... | 16 |
| 2018..... | 16 |
| 2019..... | 16 |
| 42..... | 16 |
| 43..... | 16 |
| 49..... | 16 |
| 25..... | 16 |
| 28..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 17..... | 16 |
| 16..... | 16 |
| 9..... | 16 |
| 13..... | 16 |
| 20..... | 16 |
| 6..... | 16 |
| 7..... | 16 |
| 6..... | 16 |
| 10..... | 16 |
| 6..... | 16 |
| 2..... | 16 |
| 1..... | 16 |
| 2..... | 16 |
| 2..... | 16 |
| 1..... | 16 |
| 2..... | 16 |
| 2..... | 16 |
| 3..... | 16 |
| 1..... | 16 |
| 2..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 12..... | 16 |
| 0..... | 16 |
| 3..... | 16 |
| 1..... | 16 |
| 1..... | 16 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | 16 |
| 4 | 16 |
| 33 | 16 |
| 36 | 16 |
| 37 | 16 |
| 42 | 16 |
| 36 | 16 |
| Key Contributing Factors | 18 |
| Individuals and Peers at Risk..... | 19 |
| | 27 |

© 2019 Graduate School of Public Health, Center for Health Equity. This work may be cited, reproduced and distributed, in whole or part, without alteration and without prior written permission, provided all copies properly reference attribution.

Suggested Citation: Brooks G, Garland R, Gabriella Mendez. *PITT Public Health Community Violence Prevention Project: Homicide Review Findings Report (2019)*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Center for Health Equity; 2019.

Executive Summary

Our detailed review of Allegheny County's 97 homicides in 2019 further supports the need to distinguish between types of homicide cases. The 2019 homicide total for the City of Pittsburgh was 37 (decrease in 8.6% from 2018) and for the suburban areas was 60 (increase of 3% from 2018).

Allegheny County Homicide Demographics 2019

- 80 (82%) victims were male (compared to 86% in 2018).
- 82 (85%) victims were black (compared to 70% in 2018).
- 12 victims were killed in Wilkinsburg area, leading the most deaths in one neighborhood.
- The median age of a homicide victim was 31.
- 25% of homicide victims were African American males between the ages of 21-30.

A Typical Homicide in Allegheny County

- **Victim**
 - Male
 - African American
 - Between 21-30 years old
 - Has between 1 and 3 prior arrests
 - Has prior drug and/or burglary arrest on arrest history
 - Was or previously on probation/parole
- **Suspect**
 - Male
 - African American
 - Between 18-28 years old
 - Has between 1 and 5 prior arrests
 - Has prior drug and/or weapon arrest on arrest history
 - Was or previously on probation/parole
- **Homicide Circumstances**
 - The victim and suspect were peers (they had something in common)
 - The incident occurred between 9pm-12am
 - The incident occurred on Wednesday
 - The homicide involved a firearm

Key findings from 2019 show that:

- **Homicide victimization continues to be unevenly distributed across populations or places.** While only 14% of Allegheny County residents are African American, 82% of the victims were black. Ten percent of the homicides occurred in just 1 zip code of Allegheny County's 130 neighborhoods, zip code 15217.
- **Firearms are the main cause of death.** Cause of death in 90% of homicides was gunshot wounds. Including the 11 victims in the mass shooting.
- **Social context matters for homicide victimization.** Chronic, multigenerational involvement in violence and illegal activities; additional opportunities for conflict through increased use of social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, and websites attracting extremists); prevalence of drugs, alcohol, and access to firearms; biases and violence as normative behavior were identified as relevant in the homicides.

The complexity of homicide and intentional injury prevention has become increasingly clear and points to the urgent need for efforts to address violence at multiple levels within Allegheny County communities. The recommendations include:

- **Identify and involve the support networks of at-risk individuals and engage those at risk who are not currently involved in mandated health or behavior programs.** Engaging individuals and families at risk of violence victimization in non-traditional settings (e.g., community-based organizations, primary care clinics) is a strategic way to address the complexity of peer conflict. We must begin to vet the agencies that deliver health and behavioral health services, to make sure we get the proper fit to assist families, communities, and children affected by this disease.
- **Modify community programs' participation requirements to ensure equal access.** Engaging individuals will improve participation and commitment: Modify participation requirements (e.g., parent/guardian signature payments), adapt service delivery to include non-traditional methods in communities, increase communication and coordination among behavior, health, and social programs and systems. After-school programs are a necessity for meeting the community members in community areas.
- **Increase community participation and investment in violence prevention efforts.** Combat attitudes of violence as normative behavior and promote an appropriate form of conflict resolution; support existing anti-violence groups and coalitions that provide awareness, education, and prevention; improve and strengthen community-police relations; and distribute anti-violence materials throughout Pittsburgh. Provide more education to change perceptions. We want to change community norms through education and resources.
- **Enhance the homicide review process to better inform our understanding of contributing factors and potential solutions.** Recruit new community and law enforcement members; enhance data collection efforts, specifically to better account for the unique factors involved in peer relationship homicides; increase police involvement; and enhance dissemination method.

Technical Notes

The Pitt Public Health Community Violence Prevention Initiative, within the Center for Health Equity and Department of Behavioral and Community Health Sciences at the Graduate School of Public Health, began in August 2012. Applying a public health perspective, the project gains an enhanced understanding of the contextual and underlying factors impacting community violence so recommendations specific to the needs of Allegheny County communities can be made. Using our greater understanding and the experience gained from the 2012 through 2019 Homicide Reviews, this represents our eighth findings report. As of 2014, we expanded our reporting to include data for all of Allegheny County and not just for the City Pittsburgh.

Background and Overview

In 2019 Allegheny County suffered 97 homicides. In the past few years, we have witnessed a decline in the number of homicides in the City of Pittsburgh. The total number of homicides in the city was 37, a 3.5% decrease from 2017, and for our suburban communities was 60, an increase of 1% from 2017.

As in our previous reports we started to see the trend of more homicides being committed in the communities outside of the city of Pittsburgh. To the city's effort with its Group Violence Intervention (GVI) we have seen a steady decrease in the city of Pittsburgh's homicide rate. GVI is made up of a relationship with officers and outreach workers working together to address issues in the neighborhoods the city serves.

Outreach workers are men and women from the community that have the relationships and expertise to talk with people of all ages in the community and to calm situations that could turn into deadly occurrences. The key to GVI's success is that law enforcement has taken a new approach to situations that happen every day in the communities they serve.

The partnership created with GVI enables better understanding of community occurrences and dynamics. If an outreach worker can calm a situation and offer services to address an issue it helps prevent violence resulting in homicides.

As previously mentioned, homicide rates in the city of Pittsburgh have declined while rates in suburban communities' increase. The city of Pittsburgh has done a lot of work in the city and the downtown areas, causing gentrification in many city communities. In the past ten or more years, we have seen housing communities close and city residents displaced due to the closing of certain housing communities. Affordable housing is almost non-existent in the city communities. Section 8 housing is at an all-time low which has forced past residents to look outside the city for relief.

It should be noted we have more than 142 municipalities in the county. We have a lot of landscape to cover, and each of those municipalities have a police force. Some, or most do not have the adequate training, and there are officers making only \$8.00 an hour. That is not enough money for someone to raise a family or to be invested in their job.

In the suburban communities, we must work harder at creating the same things the city has done. First, we must improve police community relationships but also establish projects like GVI county wide. Second, there must be communication between officials and community workers to address issues in the communities.

This report shows that over 80% of victims were African American men and most were between the ages of 21 -30 years of age. In our statistics you will also see the majority of these incidents occurred between 9pm – 12am. Homicides were most likely to occur on a Wednesday and they were most likely to occur at a bar or club. This differs from other reports in the past where homicides were more likely to occur on the weekends. McKeesport was the one community that showed more of an uptick in violence. We realize that the uptick in homicides and shootings in McKeesport, and other county communities has suffered from the closing of many housing communities in the city of Pittsburgh. There has been movement from the city to county communities of these individuals, which for is the prime reason for the uptick. I have named McKeesport, the Homewood of the city.

We see that more interventions like what has been done in Pittsburgh is needed in county communities, starting with communication among people and law enforcement.

Our broad goals are to:

1. Uncover patterns among incidents of homicide.
2. Identify key preventable factors that contributed to the homicide.
3. Develop recommendations for homicide prevention.
4. Disseminate information and engage in community dialogue about violence prevention within Pittsburgh and Allegheny County neighborhoods.

- Richard Garland

Partnership Coordination Using a participatory-based approach, key local stakeholders were identified and invited to partner on project activities. Community partners involved representatives from a variety of organizations, each invested and contributing expertise to the project’s objectives. Partners included adult and juvenile courts, county jail, city and county social service providers, public health professionals, trauma physicians and health care professionals, schools, anti-gun violence advocates, and community members with long-standing experience with violence prevention efforts in Allegheny County.

Data from Partners Data were collected on the 97 homicides through complementary sources of information including the Allegheny County Jail, Allegheny County Department of Human Services, Allegheny County Adult and Juvenile Probation, Health networks various social media sites and the Allegheny County Medical Examiner’s Office. Partners were responsible for searching their own agencies for victim-specific information (e.g., previous involvement or supervision history, previous criminal charge) and sharing in preparation for review meetings.

Data from Community Two coaches - two academic research staff - constituted the “outreach team,” who gathered fine-grained, contextual information surrounding the homicides from communities impacted by violence in 2018-2019. Our outreach team members (Life Coaches) are long-term residents of Allegheny County with extensive violence prevention experience, particularly around retaliatory violence and street outreach. The outreach team discussed homicide cases with key neighborhood members

and attended local community meetings to collect further detailed information. Information was gathered for each homicide and victim, including such things as relationship of the victim with the suspected perpetrator, evidence of previous conflict between the two, and family history of violence. The outreach team used information gathered from the community and media outlets to reach a consensus on whether the risk of retaliation was likely.


Homicide Review Group Composed of community partners, six homicide review group meetings took place with an average of 8-15 people per review attended. The reviews were held at the Homewood community engagement center and the graduate school public health building from January 2019 to January 2020, we reviewed 67 homicides. Community-based groups specific to the neighborhood where homicides occurred also were invited to attend the review. Meeting leaders encouraged brainstorming underlying causes of violence, intervention implications, and recommendations. All review meeting participants signed a non-disclosure statement.

The information discussed in this report represents a summary of collected data and information shared in homicide review group meetings and does not represent individual or organizational perspectives.



Violence Prevention Symposium Our Violence Prevention Symposium was held on April 9th, 2019 at the Indigo Hotel in Pittsburgh PA. The event was disseminated digitally via email as well as posted in social media via Eventbrite. Eighty people RSVPed for the event, 61 people attended (76.25% attendance rate), 9 Presenters spoke at the event, and 10 experts participated in the panel. Topics that were presented and discussed; overview of the Violence Prevention Program at Pitt, understanding violence as disease, data on homicides in Allegheny County, the impact of violence in the juvenile probation system, violence prevention from the lens of law enforcement, violence preventions efforts (operation stop the bleed) from Allegheny General, the impact of violence from the community lens (seeing thru the eyes of a victim's mother), and the role of funders in violence preventions.

Different organizations that participated were UPMC, Allegheny County Juvenile Probation, Allegheny County Dept. of Human Services, Allegheny County Police Department, County Police (Wilkinsburg), Centers for Victims of Violent Crimes, Allegheny County Health Department, Adult Probation, Pittsburgh Bureau Police, RK Mellon Foundation, Greater Pittsburgh Coalition Against Violence, HACP, CCBH, Allegheny Health Networks, and members of different communities.


Results




The graph above shows the number of homicides in the city of Pittsburgh compared to suburban neighborhoods of Allegheny County from 2014 – 2019.



Black Females




White Females




- Black males lead in the number of homicides (71) in 2019 followed by 11 black females, 10 white males and 5 white females.
- Total number of homicides from 2014 until 2019 are equal to:
 - 449 black males
 - 88 white males
 - 47 black females
 - 40 white females
- The most common race, age, and gender of a homicide victim in Allegheny County in 2019 is black, males between the ages of 21-30.
- Most of the 50+ population homicides were domestic related.
- In the United States, Blacks account for 53.73% of homicides, while Blacks account for only 13.4% of the United States population.

| Zip Code | Neighborhood(s) | Land Area | Population | Police Department | Homicide Victims 2014 | Homicide Victims 2015 | Homicide Victims 2016 | Homicide Victims 2017 | Homicide Victims 2018 | Homicide Victims 2019 |
|----------|---|---------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 15236 | Baldwin | 5.9 sq mi | 19,812 | Baldwin P.D. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 15202 | Bellevue | 1.01 sq. mi | 8624 | Bellevue P.D. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15102 | Bethel Park | 10.35 sq. mi | 29, 529 | Bethel Park P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15014 | Brackenridge | 0.51 sq. mi | 3262 | Brackenridge P.D. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15221 | Braddock Hills | 1.0 sq mi | 1880 | Braddock Hills P.D . | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 15104 | Braddock | 2.45 sq. mi | 8839 | Braddock P.D . | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 15227 | Brentwood | 1.45 Sq. mi | 9613 | Brentwood P.D. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15017 | Bridgeville | 13.045 sq. mi | 16,690 | Bridgeville P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15106 | Carnegie | 11.16 sq. mi | 18,536 | Carnegie P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15025 | Clairton, Jefferson Hills | 2.8 sq mi | 6796 | Clairton P.D. | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 15216 | Dormont | 0.7 sq mi | 8593 | Dormont P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15110 | Duquesne | 1.82 sq. mi | 5547 | Duquesne P.D . | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 15112 | East Pittsburgh | 0.4 sq mi | 1822 | East Pittsburgh P.D . | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 15223 | Etna | 0.73 sq. mi | 3397 | Etna P.D. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15238 | Forward Township | 19.9 sq. mi | 3771 | Forward Township P.D. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15045 | Glasport | 1.9 sq. mi | 4,483 | Glassport P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15101 | Allison Park | 13.82 sq. mi | 21, 741 | Hampton Township P.D. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15065 | Harrison Township | 7.36 sq. mi | 10,292 | Harrison P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15120 | Homestead | 1.90 sq. mi | 3079 | Homestead P.D. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 15215 | Kennedy, Sharpsburg | 6.1 sq mi | 11,118 | Kennedy/Sharpsburg P.D. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15237 | McCandless | 24.21 sq. mi | 41499 | McCandless P.D. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 15136 | McKees Rocks | 11.13 sq. mi | 23184 | McKees Rocks P.D . | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 5 |
| 15132 | McKeesport | 5.65 sq. mi | 21057 | McKeesport P.D. | 4 | 11 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 7 |
| 15146 | Monroeville | 19.8 sq mi | 28386 | Monroeville P.D. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15108 | Moon | 39.47 sq. mi | 38927 | Moon Township P.D . | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15228 | Mt. Lebanon | 6.08 sq. mi | 33,137 | Mt. Lebanon P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15120 | Munhall | 4.67 sq. mi | 18833 | Munhall P.D. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 15137 | North Versailles | 8.2 sq. mi | 10,229 | North Versailles P.D . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 15139 | Oakmont | 1.59 sq. mi | 6412 | Oakmont P.D. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15235 | Penn Hills | 14.66 sq. mi | 33681 | Penn Hills P.D. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| 15140 | Pitcairn | 3.5 sq. mi | 3,689 | Pitcairn P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 15239 | Plum | 28.96 sq. mi | 27,126 | Plum P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15104 | Rankin | 0.44 sq. mi | 1,955 | Rankin P.D. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 15116 | Shaler | 11.7 sq mi | 29,757 | Shaler P.D . | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15144 | Springdale | 1.09 sq mi | 3,828 | Springdale P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 15218 | Swissvale | 1.3 sq mi | 9,653 | Swissvale P.D . | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| 15084 | Tarentum | 1.4 sq. mi | 4,530 | Tarentum P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 15145 | Turtle Creek | 1.97 sq. mi | 6,945 | Turtle Creek P.D. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 15241 | Upper St. Clair | 10.4 sq. mi | 19,651 | Upper St. Clair P.D. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15147 | Verona | 10.12 sq. mi | 17743 | Verona P.D. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 15122 | West Mifflin | 14.2 sq mi | 20,313 | West Mifflin P.D. | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 15229 | West View | 1.0 sq mi | 6771 | West View P.D . | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15221 | Wilkinsburg | 6.15 sq. mi | 31069 | Wilkinsburg P.D. | 7 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 10 |
| 15148 | Wilmerding | 0.4 sq. mi | 2,190 | Wilmerding P.D. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15212 | Northside | 6.22 sq. mi | 27135 | Zone 1 P.D . | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| 15214 | Northside | 4.7 sq. mi | 14293 | Zone 1 P.D . | 6 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 15233 | Manchester | 0.279 sq mi | 2130 | Zone 1 P.D . | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 15222 | Strip District | 0.81 sq. mi | 3525 | Zone 2 P.D . | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15210 | Brentwood | 6.8 sq. mi | 28641 | Zone 2 P.D. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| 15219 | Hill District | 2.29 sq. mi | 17684 | Zone 2 P.D. | 8 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| 15210 | Allentown, Arlington, Beltzhoover, Carrick, Knoxville, Mount Oliver | 3.34 sq. mi | 20,663 | Zone 3 P.D . | 10 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 15211 | Mt. Washinton | 1.139 sq mi | 8799 | Zone 3 P.D . | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 15203 | Southside Flats/Southside Slopes | 0.94 sq. mi | 5,726 | Zone 3 P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15213 | Oakland | 2.13 sq. mi | 25066 | Zone 4 P.D . | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15207 | Hazelwood | 4.79 sq. mi | 10779 | Zone 4 P.D. | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 15217 | Squirrel Hill | 1.2 sq. mi | 11,363 | Zone 4 P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 |
| 15232 | Shadyside | 0.921 sq. mi | 13,915 | Zone 4 P.D. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15201 | Stanton Heights | 2.48 sq. mi | 12850 | Zone 5 P.D . | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15206 | East Liberty, Larimer, Lincoln Lemington | 2.838 sq. mi | 12584 | Zone 5 P.D . | 7 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 3 |
| 15208 | Homewood, Point Breeze | 1.61 sq. mi | 10, 486 | Zone 5 P.D . | 12 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| 15221 | East Hills | 0.541 sq mi | 3, 169 | Zone 5 P.D . | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| 15224 | Bloomfield, Garfield, | 1.2 sq. mi | 12, 117 | Zone 5 P.D . | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 15205 | Crafton Heights | 0.754 sq mi | 3814 | Zone 6 P.D . | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 15216 | Beechview | 1.46 sq mi | 7974 | Zone 6 P.D . | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15204 | Chartiers, Esplen, Sheraden | 1.86 sq. mi | 7986 | Zone 6 P.D. | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 15220 | Elliot | 4.94 sq. mi | 18023 | Zone 6 P.D. | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15226 | Brookline | 2.54 sq. mi | 13551 | Zone 6 P.D. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- The population of Allegheny county is estimated to be 1,216,045 with a land mass of 720 sq. mi.
- Allegheny County consist of 130 Municipalities, plus the 32 neighborhoods in the City itself.




- Each year homicides tend to happen more on the weekend days than weekdays, in 2019 we saw an increase on Wednesday than ever before and a decrease on Fridays.




- Times in which a homicide usually occurs is always high during 9pm-3am, in 2019 times peaked between 9pm-12am. These are the usual night life hours where people are out in bars, clubs, or lounges.

Homicides by the Month 2014-2019



Allegheny County Cause of Death 2014 and 2019




| Characteristics | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total Number of Homicides | 108 | 114 | 106 | 107 | 106 | 97 |
| Location Type | | | | | | |
| Street, Road, Avenue | 38 | 43 | 35 | 36 | 51 | 32 |
| House/Home | 33 | 36 | 3 | 35 | 20 | 38 |
| Housing Authority Housing | 7 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Apartment | 4 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Automobile | 8 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 12 |
| Bar, Club, Business | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Park, Parking lot, Wooded area | 8 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| Group home, Correctional facility | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other (i.e. vacant building, river, business) | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 0 |
| Residence of Victim at time of death | | | | | | |
| Pittsburgh | 46 | 55 | 44 | 39 | 42 | 28 |
| Suburbs | 29 | 54 | 48 | 51 | 40 | 54 |
| Homeless | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Unknown/out of state | 32 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 24 | 7 |
| Outside of Allegheny County | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| Killed in Neighborhood of Residence | 32 | 55 | 43 | 62 | 57 | 61 |
| Others injured in incident | 4 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 17 |
| Received DHS Services | 66 | 69 | 48 | 72 | 68 | 72 |
| Perpetrator/Suspect | | | | | | |
| Arrest made | 29 | 55 | 45 | 42 | 51 | 58 |
| Deceased (e.g. murder-suicide) | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Charges pending (e.g., self-defense) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Law Enforcement | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Unknown | 2 | 52 | 57 | 60 | 50 | 29 |

| Conflict context, definition (Allegheny County, 2018) | |
|---|--|
| Conflict Context | Definitions & Clarification |
| Peer conflict* *Peer - move in the same circle. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purposeful, self-motivated • Peers or individuals with something in common (e.g., avocation, residence, age) with more than a superficial familiarity • Conflicts may stem from such things as drugs, money, power, or disrespect, but not gang business |
| Gang/Group related | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U.S. Department of Justice gang definition is used, specifically there is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Identifiable leadership and internal organization ◦ Collective identification by employing a common name, slogan, sign or symbol, style or color of clothing • Conflicts are “gang on gang” or one named gang versus another named gang and may involve such things as turf/territory, intimidation, power, pride and respect, or gang business (e.g., drugs, stolen commerce, firearms, prostitution) • Geographically defined boundaries in Pittsburgh that determine with what gang you should affiliate |
| Isolated Incident | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidents that are criminally motivated, but do not involve aspects of a peer or gang-related conflict • Considered an isolated event • Conflicts may stem from such things as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Robbery, home invasion ◦ Argument/conflict ◦ Contracted killing |
| Child abuse | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intentional injury or violence towards a child |
| Intimate partner/Domestic violence | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intentional injury or violence perpetrated by a current or former intimate partner (e.g., boyfriend, girlfriend, wife, husband) • Domestic injury or violence between family members or household affairs |
| Non-criminal or unintentional | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidents that are not criminally motivated and do not involve aspects of a peer or gang-related conflict • Conflicts may stem from such things as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Unintended target ◦ No apparent motive ◦ Negligence or improper firearm storage ◦ Personal protection or self-defense |
| Hate Crime | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Typically, one involving violence that is motivated by prejudice based on race, religion, sexual orientation, or other grounds |
| Law Enforcement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any incident that involves law enforcement |
| Unknown | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unknown due to incomplete information |

| Conflict Context Allegheny County | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Peer conflict | 42 | 43 | 49 | 25 | 28 |
| Gang-related | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isolated Incident | 17 | 16 | 9 | 13 | 20 |
| Intimate partner or Domestic Violence | 6 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 6 |
| Child abuse | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Non-criminal or unintentional | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Hate Crime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Law Enforcement | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Unknown | 33 | 36 | 37 | 42 | 36 |

Allegheny County *Victim's* Previous Criminal Charges 2014-2019



- The above offenses are the top ten relevant to homicides and ten most common offenses committed by either a homicide victim or suspect.
- Drug charges were the leading charges victims received, majority was manufacture, delivery, or possession with intent to manufacture or deliver. Not shown in graph is sex offenses which there were 4 sex offense charges committed by victim(s) in 2019.
- Charges shown in the graph are only adult charges, 72% of victims in 2019 had juvenile charges also starting as young as 11 years of age.

Key Contributing Factors

Public information and news outlets often oversimplify intentional injury and homicides in Allegheny County, frequently identifying street gangs and illicit drugs as the source of conflict. Through community engagement and academic-community partnerships, greater contextual information was gathered, and homicides were found to involve a variety of factors. Numerous contributing factors were identified and discussed with partners as relevant to homicides from 2019.

Discussion took place around the role of street drugs, multigenerational family involvement in violence, and illegal activities. This cycle of violence, and its impact on the breakdown of a family unit, was identified as a contributor to a victim's involvement in violence. This is why we are taking the public health approach that sees violence as a disease that is passed on.

The increasing access to and use of social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, Snapchat and Instagram) was still extensively discussed around homicide cases, particularly social media as an additional opportunity for conflict (i.e., beyond face-to-face arguments). These social media platforms are beginning to play a larger role in sources of conflict among individuals leading to future violence and intentional injury. Additionally, drugs, alcohol, and access to firearms was also frequently highlighted as related to the homicides. In these social media platforms, there are music videos with very detailed messages of different feuds going on, people who are feuding, and which people belong to which groups.

Violence as normative behavior and an appropriate form of conflict resolution was discussed as a significant contributing factor to the homicides. A lack of mentoring or positive behavior modeling within families, in addition to a potential familial cycle of violence was identified as relevant in the homicides from 2018 and still in 2019. This kind of violence is then carried out in the schools and in their neighborhoods.

Year by year Comparison: Emerging Differences

Victim and homicide differences emerged between 2012 and 2013. Female victims represented a larger number of homicides in 2013, (17% of total homicides, of which 50% were related to intimate partner violence). Furthermore, female intimate partner homicides were associated with a variation in cause of death compared to 2012 with 50% due to a gunshot wound, 25% due to poisoning, and 25% due to stabbing.

Age of homicide victim differed between the years, with most victims aged 18 to 25 years in 2012 compared to the majority 35 years or older in 2013.

In 2014, firearm charges for victims and suspects before the homicide incidents took place were more frequent. There were victims and suspects with more than one firearm charge usually juveniles to adults. Increasingly, the victims and suspects involved in our homicide incidents were charged with firearm violations in the past. In 2014, more than 50% of victims and suspects had prior firearm charges.

Data on victim's neighborhood of residence at time of death illustrates that one quarter of 2015-2016 Allegheny County homicide victims were living outside of city limits at time of death.

In 2017-2018, we have noticed a rise in domestic violence both intimate partner violence and domestic family violence with 9% of homicides being classified as domestic. Also, we have seen an increase of home invasions and robberies accounting for 12% of homicides committed on the person committing the act and, on the person(s) being robbed.

2018-2109 we seen a decrease in domestic incidents and an increase in isolated incidents where home invasions and robberies turned out to be a homicide. This being the perpetrator in the incident at 12% of these isolated incidents.

Recommendations

The complexity of homicide and intentional injury prevention has become clear over the past five years of project activities. In order to be effective, a range of interventions need to take place at multiple levels. The remainder of this report outlines recommendations from partners that incorporate information and insight gained in 2015. Some of the recommendations are like those made following the review of homicides from 2012-2019, illustrating the improvements that still need to take place. Divided into *Individuals and Peers at Risk*, *Service Improvement*, and *Community Action*, the recommendations identify potential opportunities to further understand, increase awareness, and prevent and respond to violence impacting Allegheny County communities.

Individuals and Peers at Risk

- **Identify and involve the support network of at-risk individuals.** Natural supports are key assets in encouraging an individual's engagement, commitment, and success in health and behavior programs. Natural supports help at-risk individuals through their advocacy and should be identified and engaged in violence prevention and intervention activities.
- **Reach out and engage those at risk for violence who are not currently involved in a mandated health or behavior program.** Due to regulations of local health and behavior programs (e.g., juvenile court), individuals who are not under mandated involvement, but who may be at risk for violence, are unable to access services that may benefit them. Emphasis should be on engaging and referring these individuals at multiple points of contact (e.g., primary care clinics, community-based organizations, after school programs) to appropriate health and behavior programs.

Service Improvement

- **Enhance connections between behavior, health, and social programs and systems.** Continuity between behavior, health, and social systems is crucial. Connections between systems should be improved to avoid any interruption in use or loss to follow-up.

- **Focus on engagement.** Individuals, particularly youth, may be more willing to participate in community health and social programs if they are engaged, rather than identified as needing a particular service.
- **Modify participation requirements.** Community adolescent or youth programs often require a parent/guardian's signature or payment to participate. These requirements eliminate potential participants who are unable to provide either a signature or payment. Program modifications or exceptions to participation requirements would ensure that all interested adolescents have a chance to participate, and overall, increase their access to a supportive community.
- **Adapt structure of service delivery in community settings.** Community stigma and distrust of certain institutions are significant barriers to access and use of existing services. Health and social service organizations should adapt their structure of service delivery to include non-traditional methods (e.g., having more men and women walking neighborhoods) to not only increase awareness and program participation, but also better understand why current services are underutilized and to combat stigma or distrust.
- **Increase communication and coordination among organizations concerned with violence prevention efforts.** Numerous organizations are currently working with individuals and families at risk for violence or around local violence prevention efforts. Increased communication and coordination between these organizations would promote earlier intervention with at-risk individuals, as well as foster a larger network of individually tailored violence prevention efforts.

Community Action

- **Combat attitudes of violence as normative behavior and an appropriate form of conflict resolution.** The normalization of violence exists within Pittsburgh families and communities, and overall, supports a cycle of violence. The following recommendations help to stop the cycle of violence: increased anti-violence initiatives that combat such attitudes, promotion of positive behavior modeling for children, youth, and young adults, and teaching/encouraging appropriate conflict resolution strategies.
- **Improve and strengthen community-police relations.** Poor community-police relations negatively impact and undermine violence prevention efforts. Increased trust, respect, and accountability between both groups is essential in order to work toward creating safe communities.
- **Distribute anti-violence and homicide awareness, education, and prevention materials throughout Pittsburgh.** Homicide and community violence information should be distributed throughout communities, local government and county agencies, and local media. Through increasing awareness and knowledge of

homicide prevalence, greater attention and discussion can take place around the urgency for more effective prevention efforts.

- **Increase community participation and investment in violence prevention efforts.** Numerous community organizations, groups, and coalitions are already providing anti-violence awareness, education, and prevention throughout Allegheny County. Local governmental agencies, academic institutions, and community assets (e.g., barbershops and beauty salons, religious institutions, funeral homes) should support these existing efforts, so a diverse coalition to confront the violence impacting our communities can be created.

Community Recommendations

- **Enhance data collection efforts.** Toxicology reports by the medical examiner's office, victim's social media presence, and prior residence information, as well as information on surviving children and other family members, would allow for an improved review of homicides. Additionally, data collection efforts should be expanded to better account for the unique factors involved in intimate partner homicides, such as history of abuse, including Protection from Abuse (PFA) filings and prior police-involved incidents; history of substance abuse; weapons in the home; use of community resources; and previous use of mental health and other behavioral health services by perpetrator.
- **Increase police involvement.** Collaboration for the City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police and County police and their involvement and representation in homicide review meetings is crucial to gaining a comprehensive understanding of homicides within Allegheny County. Their commitment and participation may also foster improved community relations.
- **Disseminate findings through multiple methods.** Findings should be disseminated widely, including non-traditional methods. One suggestion is to share information from public safety meetings with neighborhoods and communities impacted by violence to encourage community engagement and dialogue around appropriate intervention and prevention efforts. Placing copies of the report in barbershops and hair salons, for the community to read.
- **Track initiative involvement.** It is important to keep track of the diverse violence prevention initiatives around Allegheny County and to observe their impacts on the homicide rate. Bringing representatives from these initiatives to the table to share with all homicide review members is essential; they may also assist in disseminating information to the community.
- **Increase awareness of Social Media.** Informing community members to check children, family members and friend's social media pages for threats of violence.

Social media has a huge effect on the violence in schools, business and the community. Being aware of the issues before they occur through the social media lenses will help prevent a lot of the violence we see in our communities.

- **Support homicide victims' children.** Support through mentorships, activities and guidance through school or needs for future success. Other communities' members connecting with guardians of the children to give them a strong support system. Often families are affected physically, financially, and mentally by the homicide loss and linking children with a trusted mentor in the community will strengthening the child and community.

Community Resources

Center for Victims

3433 East Carson Suite #300
Pittsburgh, PA 15203
(412) 482-3240
24-hour crisis hotline 1-866-644-2882

Community Empowerment Association

Arts, Culture, & Training Center
7120 Kelly Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15208
(412) 371-3689

First United Methodist Church

1406 Cornell Street
McKeesport, PA 15132
(412) 672-5352

Department of Human Services

1 Smithfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
(412) 350-5701

Hill House Association

1835 Centre Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
(412) 392-4400

Homewood Community Engagement Center

622 N Homewood Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15208
(412) 383-0830

Manchester Citizens Corporation

1319 Allegheny Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15233
(412) 323-1743

National Council for Urban Peace and Justice

P.O. Box 99746
Pittsburgh, PA 15233
(412) 606-0059

One Hood Media

info@1hood.org
(412) 404-2347

The Pittsburgh Project

2801 North Charles Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15214
(412) 321-1678

The Corner Pittsburgh

200 Robinson St
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 683-1400

Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh

610 Wood Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15222


YMCA Homewood

7140 Bennett Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15208
(412) 243-2900

Kingsley Association

6435 Frankston Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15206
(412) 661-8751
kingsleyassociation.org

Appendix A. Allegheny County Zone Map



Source: <http://apps.alleghenycounty.us/website/MuniList.asp>

- 1 Aleppo Township
- 2 Borough of Aspinwall
- 3 Borough of Avalon
- 4 Borough of Baldwin
- 5 Baldwin Township
- 6 Borough of Bell Acres
- 7 Borough of Bellevue
- 8 Borough of Ben Avon
- 9 Borough of Ben Avon Hts.
- 10 Municipality of Bethel Park
- 11 Borough of Blawnox
- 12 Borough of Brackenridge
- 13 Borough of Braddock
- 14 Borough of Braddock Hills
- 15 Borough of Bradford Woods
- 16 Borough of Brentwood
- 17 Borough of Bridgeville
- 18 Borough of Carnegie
- 19 Borough of Castle Shannon
- 20 Borough of Chalfant
- 21 Borough of Cheswick
- 22 Borough of Churchill
- 23 City of Clairton
- 24 Collier Township
- 25 Borough of Coraopolis
- 26 Borough of Crafton
- 27 Crescent Township
- 28 Borough of Dormont
- 29 Borough of Dravosburg
- 30 City of Duquesne
- 31 East Deer Township
- 32 Borough of East McKeesport
- 33 Borough of East Pittsburgh
- 34 Borough of Edgewood
- 35 Borough of Edgeworth
- 36 Borough of Elizabeth
- 37 Elizabeth Township
- 38 Borough of Emsworth
- 39 Borough of Etna
- 40 Fawn Township
- 41 Findlay Township
- 42 Borough of Forest Hills
- 43 Forward Township
- 44 Borough of Fox Chapel
- 45 Borough of Franklin Park
- 46 Frazer Township
- 47 Borough of Glassport
- 84 Borough of Glen Osborne
(formerly Osborne)
- 48 Borough of Glenfield
- 49 Borough of Green Tree
- 50 Hampton Township
- 51 Harmar Township
- 52 Harrison Township
- 53 Borough of Haysville
- 54 Borough of Heidelberg
- 55 Borough of Homestead
- 56 Indiana Township
- 57 Borough of Ingram
- 58 Borough of Jefferson Hills
- 59 Kennedy Township
- 60 Kilbuck Township
- 61 Leet Township
- 62 Borough of Leetsdale
- 63 Borough of Liberty
- 64 Borough of Lincoln
- 65 Marshall Township
- 66 Town of McCandless
- 67 Borough of McDonald
- 68 City of McKeesport
- 69 Borough of McKees Rocks
- 70 Borough of Millvale
- 71 Municipality of Monroeville
- 72 Moon Township
- 73 Municipality of Mt. Lebanon
- 74 Borough of Mt. Oliver

75 Borough of Munhall
76 Neville Township
77 North Braddock Borough
78 North Fayette Township
79 North Versailles Township
80 Borough of Oakdale
81 Borough of Oakmont
82 O'Hara Township
83 Ohio Township
85 Municipality of Penn Hills
86 Pennsbury Village
87 Pine Township
88 Borough of Pitcairn
89 City of Pittsburgh
90 Borough of Pleasant Hills
91 Borough of Plum
92 Borough of Port Vue
93 Borough of Rankin
94 Reserve Township
95 Richland Township
96 Robinson Township
97 Ross Township
98 Borough of Rosslyn Farms
99 Scott Township
100 Borough of Sewickley
101 Borough of Sewickley Hts.
102 Borough of Sewickley Hills
103 Shaler Township
104 Borough of Sharpsburg
105 South Fayette Township
106 South Park Township
107 South Versailles Township
108 Borough of Springdale
109 Springdale Township
110 Stowe Township
111 Borough of Swissvale
112 Borough of Tarentum
113 Borough of Thornburg
114 Borough of Trafford
115 Borough of Turtle Creek
116 Upper St. Clair Township
117 Borough of Verona
118 Borough of Versailles
119 Borough of Wall
120 West Deer Township
121 Borough of West Elizabeth
122 Borough of West Homestead
123 Borough of West Mifflin
124 Borough of West View
125 Borough of Whitaker
126 Borough of White Oak
127 Borough of Whitehall
128 Wilkins Township
129 Borough of Wilkinsburg
130 Borough of Wilmerding

Appendix B. Pittsburgh Bureau of Police Zone Map



Source: Pittsburgh Bureau of Police

James Hilston/Post-Gazette

Appendix C. Bibliography

1. Hemingway D, Miller M. Public Health Approach to the Prevention of Gun Violence. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013;368(21):2033-2035.
2. O'Malley T, Documét P, Garland R, Burke J, Albert S. *PITT Public Health Community Violence Prevention Project: Findings Report (2012)*. University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Center for Health Equity;2013.
3. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System. http://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/fatal_injury_reports.html. Accessed November 26, 2013.
4. Jones-Webb R, Wall M. Neighborhood racial/ethnic concentration, social disadvantage, and homicide risk: an ecological analysis of 10 U.S. cities. *J Urban Health*. 2008;85(5):662-676.
5. Peterson R, Krivo L. Macrostructural analyses of race, ethnicity, and violent crime: recent lessons and new directions from research. *Annu Rev Sociol*. 2005;31(1):331-356.
6. Collins K, Dalton E, Odah C. *Homicides in Allegheny County, 1997 through 2012*. Allegheny County Department of Human Services (DHS);2013.
7. Leshner A, Altevogt B, Lee A, McCoy M, Kelley P. *Priorities for Research to Reduce the Threat of Firearm-Related Violence*. Institute of Medicine and National Research Council;2013.
8. Stoddard S, Whiteside L, Zimmerman M, Cunningham R, Chermack S, Walton M. The relationship between cumulative risk and promotive factors and violent behavior among urban adolescents. *Am J Community Psychol*. 2013;51:57-65.
9. Zimmerman G, Messner S. Individual, family background, and contextual explanations of racial and ethnic disparities in youths' exposure to violence. *Am J Public Health*. 2013;103(3):435-442.
10. *Youth Violence: Facts at a Glance*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;2012.
11. Hoyert D, Xu J. *Deaths: Preliminary Data for 2011*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC);2012.
12. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System. <https://www.alleghenycountyanalytics.us/> Accessed August 25, 2017.

